

# Universal behaviour of four-body systems from a functional renormalisation group

Michael C Birse The University of Manchester

Results from:

Jaramillo Avila and Birse, arXiv:1506.04949 Jaramillo Avila and Birse, arXiv:1304.5454 Birse, Krippa and Walet, arXiv:1011.5852 Krippa, Walet and Birse, arXiv:0911.4608

# Background

Ideas of effective field theory and renormalisation group

- well-developed for few-nucleon and few-atom systems
- rely on separation of scales
- Wilsonian RG used to derive power counting
- $\rightarrow$  classify terms as perturbations around fixed point (or limit cycle)

## Background

Ideas of effective field theory and renormalisation group

- well-developed for few-nucleon and few-atom systems
- rely on separation of scales
- Wilsonian RG used to derive power counting
- $\rightarrow$  classify terms as perturbations around fixed point (or limit cycle)

Many unsuccessful attempts to extend to dense matter

- but no separation of scales
- other EFT's for interacting Fermi systems exist (Landau Fermi liquid, Ginsburg-Landau theory)
- but parameters have no simple connection to underlying forces

#### EFTs based on contact interactions

- not well suited for standard many-body methods
- → switch to lattice simulation [Lee, Meissner *et al*] or look for some more heuristic approach
  - based on field theory
  - can be matched onto EFT's for few-body systems (input from 2- and 3-body systems in vacuum)

### EFTs based on contact interactions

- not well suited for standard many-body methods
- → switch to lattice simulation [Lee, Meissner *et al*] or look for some more heuristic approach
  - based on field theory
  - can be matched onto EFT's for few-body systems (input from 2- and 3-body systems in vacuum)

Explore functional renormalisation group ("exact" RG)

- based on Wilsonian RG approach to field theories
- successfully applied to various systems in areas from condensed-matter physics to quantum gravity [version due to Wetterich (1993)]

# Outline

- Functional RG
- Spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  fermions
  - Dimer-dimer scattering

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

4/24

- Bosons
  - Efimov physics
  - 4-body systems

# **Functional RG**

Version based on Legendre-transformed effective action  $\Gamma[\phi_c]$  (generating function for 1-particle-irreducible diagrams)

• evolves with scale k according to

$$\partial_k \Gamma = -\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{STr} \left[ (\partial_k R) \left( \Gamma^{(2)} - R \right)^{-1} \right] \quad \text{where} \quad \Gamma^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \phi_c \delta \phi_c}$$

• R(q,k): regulator function suppresses modes with  $q \leq k$ 

# **Functional RG**

Version based on Legendre-transformed effective action  $\Gamma[\phi_c]$  (generating function for 1-particle-irreducible diagrams)

• evolves with scale k according to

$$\partial_k \Gamma = -\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{STr} \left[ (\partial_k R) \left( \Gamma^{(2)} - R \right)^{-1} \right] \quad \text{where} \quad \Gamma^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \phi_c \delta \phi_c}$$

• R(q,k): regulator function suppresses modes with  $q \lesssim k$ 

Functional differential equation for **F** 

- work with truncated ansatz
- local action expanded in powers of derivatives (cf low-energy EFTs, but don't know *a priori* if we have a consistent power counting)

Derivative expansion may be good at starting scale K

- use power counting of EFT to determine relevant terms (or use this RG to find that power counting in scaling regime)
- but no guarantee that it remains good for k → 0 (can't be for scattering amplitudes at energies above threshold: cuts → nonanalytic behaviour)

Derivative expansion may be good at starting scale K

- use power counting of EFT to determine relevant terms (or use this RG to find that power counting in scaling regime)
- but no guarantee that it remains good for k → 0 (can't be for scattering amplitudes at energies above threshold: cuts → nonanalytic behaviour)

To extend validity of expansion:

- add fields to decribe low-energy excitations: (dimers, trimers, phonons, ...)
- make consistency checks: stability against adding extra terms to ansatz stability against changes in form of regulator
- ightarrow use this to optimise choice of regulator [Litim, Pawlowski]

(日)(御)(日)(日)(日)

## Two species of fermion

Fermion "atom" field:  $\psi(x)$  (spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  atoms or neutrons) Boson "dimer" field:  $\phi(x)$  (strongly interacting pairs) Local (nonrelativistic) ansatz for action in vacuum: 2-body sector

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma[\psi,\psi^{\dagger},\phi,\phi^{\dagger};k] \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^{4}x \left[ \psi(x)^{\dagger} \left( \mathrm{i}\,\partial_{0} + \frac{\nabla^{2}}{2M} \right) \psi(x) \right. \\ & \left. + Z_{\phi}(k)\,\phi(x)^{\dagger} \left( \mathrm{i}\,\partial_{0} + \frac{\nabla^{2}}{4M} \right) \phi(x) - u_{1}(k)\,\phi(x)^{\dagger}\phi(x) \right. \\ & \left. - g\left( \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\,\phi(x)^{\dagger}\psi(x)^{\mathrm{T}}\sigma_{2}\psi(x) + \mathrm{H\,c} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

 $g: AA \rightarrow D$  coupling

 $u_1(k)$ : dimer self-energy ( $u_1/g^2$ : only physical parameter)  $Z_{\phi}(k)$ : dimer wave-function renormalisation

#### Regulators

• fermions: sharp cutoff on 3-momentum

$$R_F(\boldsymbol{q},k) = \frac{k^2 - q^2}{2M} \theta(k-q)$$

- pushes states with q < k up to energy  $k^2/2M$
- nonrelativistic version of "optimised" cutoff [Litim (2001)]
- fastest convergence at this level of truncation
- bosons

$$R_B(\boldsymbol{q},k) = Z_{\phi}(k) \frac{(c_B k)^2 - q^2}{4M} \theta(c_B k - q)$$

- c<sub>B</sub>: relative scale of boson cutoff
- optimised choice  $c_B = 1$  [cf Pawlowski (2007)] (no mismatch between fermion and boson cutoffs)

2-body sector: evolution equations from one "skeleton" diagram



(need to insert  $\partial_k \mathbf{R}_F$  on one internal line) Expand in powers of energy  $\rightarrow \partial_k u_1, \partial_k Z_{\phi}$ 

3-body sector: AD contact interaction

$$\Gamma[\Psi,\Psi^{\dagger},\phi,\phi^{\dagger};k] = \cdots - \lambda(k) \int d^4x \,\Psi^{\dagger}(x)\phi^{\dagger}(x)\phi(x)\Psi(x)$$

4 diagrams in evolution equation

# 4-body sector: DD→DD, DD→DAA, DAA→DAA terms [Birse, Krippa and Walet (2010); cf Schmidt and Moroz (2009): bosons]

$$\begin{split} \Gamma[\psi,\psi^{\dagger},\phi,\phi^{\dagger};k] &= \cdots - \int d^{4}x \left[ \frac{1}{2} u_{2}(k) \left( \phi^{\dagger}\phi \right)^{2} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{4} v(k) \left( i \phi^{\dagger 2}\phi \psi^{T} \sigma_{2} \psi + \mathsf{H} \, \mathsf{c} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{4} w(k) \phi^{\dagger}\phi \psi^{\dagger} \sigma_{2} \psi^{\dagger T} \, \psi^{T} \sigma_{2} \psi \right] \end{split}$$

- "breakup" terms v, w allow 3-body physics to feed in properly
- 30 diagrams in evolution equation (automate generation!)

## Initial conditions

As  $k \to \infty$  boson field purely auxiliary

(bosonises 4-fermion contact interaction  $G(\psi^{\dagger}\psi)^2$ )

• 
$$Z_{\phi}$$
,  $\lambda$ ,  $u_2$ ,  $v$ ,  $w \rightarrow 0$ 

•  $u_1(K)$  chosen so that in physical limit  $(k \rightarrow 0)$ 

$$u_1(0)=-\frac{Mg^2}{4\pi a}$$

a: AA scattering length

#### Initial conditions

As  $k \rightarrow \infty$  boson field purely auxiliary

(bosonises 4-fermion contact interaction  $G(\psi^{\dagger}\psi)^2$ )

• 
$$Z_{\phi}, \lambda, u_2, v, w \rightarrow 0$$

•  $u_1(K)$  chosen so that in physical limit  $(k \rightarrow 0)$ 

$$u_1(0)=-\frac{Mg^2}{4\pi a}$$

a: AA scattering length

## DD scattering length

- results converge when "breakup" terms are included
- resulting value only weakly dependent on cutoff (c<sub>B</sub>)
- stationary very close to expected "optimum"  $c_B = 1$
- final result:  $a_B/a \simeq 0.58 \pm 0.02$
- agrees well with full few-body result  $a_B/a = 0.6$ [Petrov, Salomon and Shlyapnikov (2004)]

#### Bosons

(also  $\geq$  3 species of fermion in spatially symmetric channels: <sup>4</sup>He)

More interesting: Efimov effect in 3-body sector

- tower of 3-body bound states, energies in geometric series
- momentum scaling factor  $e^{\pi/s_0}$  where  $s_0 = 1.00624$
- energies in ratio  $\sim$  515
- two 4-body bound states below each 3-body state [von Stecher *et al* (2009); Deltuva (2010)]

# Introduce trimer field $\chi(x)$

include energy dependence associated with 3-body bound states

## Effective action

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{k}[\Psi,\Psi^{*},\phi,\phi^{*},\chi,\chi^{*}] \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^{4}x \left[ \Psi^{*} \left( \mathrm{i}\,\partial_{0} + \frac{\nabla^{2}}{2m} \right) \Psi + Z_{d} \phi^{*} \left( \mathrm{i}\,\partial_{0} + \frac{\nabla^{2}}{4m} \right) \phi + Z_{t} \chi^{*} \left( \mathrm{i}\,\partial_{0} + \frac{\nabla^{2}}{6m} \right) \chi \right. \\ &\left. - u_{d} \phi^{*} \phi - u_{t} \chi^{*} \chi - \frac{g}{2} \left( \phi^{*} \Psi \Psi + \Psi^{*} \Psi^{*} \phi \right) - h \left( \chi^{*} \phi \Psi + \phi^{*} \Psi^{*} \chi \right) \right. \\ &\left. - \lambda \phi^{*} \Psi^{*} \phi \Psi \right. \\ &\left. - \lambda \phi^{*} \Psi^{*} \phi \Psi \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{u_{dd}}{2} \left( \phi^{*} \phi \right)^{2} - \frac{v_{d}}{4} \left( \phi^{*} \phi^{*} \phi \Psi \Psi + \phi^{*} \Psi^{*} \psi \phi \phi \right) - \frac{w}{4} \phi^{*} \Psi^{*} \Psi^{*} \phi \Psi \Psi \right. \\ &\left. - u_{tt} \chi^{*} \Psi^{*} \chi \Psi - \frac{u_{dt}}{2} \left( \phi^{*} \phi^{*} \chi \Psi + \chi^{*} \Psi^{*} \phi \phi \phi \right) \right] \end{split}$$

13/24

▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

AD interaction  $\lambda$  regenerated by evolution even if zero initially (unlike AA scattering)

 $\rightarrow$  use running trimer field [cf Gies and Wetterich (2002)] (shift of expansion point for  $\chi$  field)

 $\partial_k \chi = \zeta_1 \, \varphi \psi + \zeta_2 \, \psi^* \chi \psi + \zeta_3 \, \psi^* \varphi \varphi + \zeta_4 \, \psi^* \varphi \psi \psi$ 

where  $\zeta_1=-\partial_k\lambda/2h$  to cancel running of  $\lambda$ 

- other terms do same for four-atom couplings v<sub>d</sub>, w and v<sub>t</sub>
- additional piece in evolution equation

$$\partial_k \Gamma = -rac{\mathsf{i}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[ (\partial_k \mathbf{R}) \left( (\mathbf{\Gamma}^{(2)} - \mathbf{R})^{-1} 
ight) \right] + rac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \chi} \cdot \partial_k \chi$$

• 4-body equations with structure like Faddeev-Yakubovsky (coupled DD, AT channels)

#### 3-body sector

Coupled equations for  $u_t(k)$ ,  $Z_t(k)$  and  $h^2(k)$ 

Scaling limit  $k \gg 1/|a|$ 

- couplings oscillate sinusoidally with lnk
- poles in AD scattering amplitude h<sup>2</sup>/u<sub>t</sub> (values of k where 3-body bound states appear at zero energy)
- → tower of Efimov states with  $s_0 = 0.92503$  (exact: 1.006) [Schmidt and Moroz (2009)] momentum scale factor  $e^{\pi/s_0} = 29.2$  (exact: 22.7)
  - tower cuts off when  $k \sim 1/|a|$

#### 4-body sector

3-body cycles  $\rightarrow$  cyclic behaviour in 4-body system One Efimov cycle of rescaled  $\hat{u}_{tt}(k)$  as a function of  $t = \ln(k/K)$ 



solid: real, dashed: imaginary vertical grey line: AT threshold passes through zero energy

A ID IN A IP IN A

## Comments

- imaginary part appears at AT threshold  $t = t_3 \simeq -4.85$
- 4-body bound states below AT threshold  $t \simeq -3.83, -4.67, \dots$ (decay to deeper trimer + free atom  $\rightarrow$  finite widths)
- unphysical singularity from zero of h<sup>2</sup>(k) at t ≃ −3.0 (end of region within cycle where h<sup>2</sup>(k), Z<sub>t</sub>(k) have opposite signs → trimer "ghost")

#### Infinite tower of 4-body bound states below AT threshold



Double exponential pattern  $\sim$  super-Efimov effect [Nishida, Moroz and Son (2013)]

- but may not survive in physical limit  $k \rightarrow 0$
- 4-body states move relative to AT threshold, become virtual

Final cycle of  $\hat{u}_{tt}(k)$  for finite  $a_0 < 0$ tuned so that last three-body state appears at k = 0 ( $t = -\infty$ )



Three 4-body states, at t = -4.1, -5.6 and -7.1 (consistent with theorem of Amado and Greenwood)

## Run at finite energy

• three 4-body bound states per cycle (one very fragile)

Plot of binding momentum  $\kappa = \sqrt{-ME}$  against 1/a



*a*<sub>3</sub>: AA scattering length where trimer becomes bound (recombination);
black lines: AD, DD thresholds; blue: 3-body bound state;
red: 4-body states; thin blue: effective AT threshold

4-body states become bound at

$$a_3/a_4^{(0)} \simeq 2.29, \quad a_3/a_4^{(1)} \simeq 1.14, \quad a_3/a_4^{(2)} \simeq 1.003$$

Exact results: 2.351, 1.096 (only two states) [Deltuva (2012)] Third state extremely weakly bound: probably artefact of truncation

Unitary limit: binding momenta

- $\kappa_3 |a_3| \simeq 1.71$ ; cf exact: 1.5077
- $\kappa_4/\kappa_3 \simeq$  2.18, 1.11; cf exact: 2.147, 1.0011

Overall, FRG in this truncation agrees with few-body results to  $\lesssim 20\%$ 

## Summary

Applications of functional RG to 3- and 4-body systems

- local effective action, "optimised" cutoff
- keeping all local terms in 4-body sector

## Fermions

 results for dimer-dimer scattering length: stable against variation of cutoff, agree with direct calculations

#### Bosons

- dynamical trimer field (match Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations)
- 3-body sector: Efimov cycles
- 4-body sector: three states per cycle (one very weakly bound)
- energies agree with direct calculations to  $\lesssim 20\%$

## **Extra slides**

Plot of binding momentum  $\kappa = \sqrt{-ME}$  against 1/a



#### Super-Efimov effect

Relies on being close to fixed point with complex scaling Example for fewer-body coupling  $g^2$  at nontrivial fixed point

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = ag^4 + bg^2v + cv^2$$

with  $b^2 - 4ac < 0 \rightarrow$  imaginary scaling dimension Now consider  $g^2$  marginal:  $g^2 = g_0^2/t$  with  $t = \ln(k/k_0)$ and define  $\hat{v} = tv$ 

$$t\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{v}}{\mathrm{d}t} = ag_0^4 + (1+bg_0^2)\hat{v} + c\,\hat{v}^2$$

 $\rightarrow$  cyclic behaviour in ln  $t = \ln(\ln(k/k_0))$  if

$$\left(\frac{1}{g_0^2}+b\right)^2-4ac<0$$

4 bosons - close to AAD Efimov cycle [Deltuva (2012)]